ISSN: 2663-5798 || Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing

الإصدار السابع – العدد الرابع والسبعون
تاريخ الإصدار: 2 – كانون الأول – 2024م
www.ajsp.net

"The role of medical emergency team in preserving patients' lives and factors affecting them"

Researcher:

Mazen Fahad AL Sawat[1], Ibrahim Muslih Althagafi[2], Mohammed Abid Obid Altobaiti[3], Mansour Eid Mohammed Altobaiti[4], Hani Hussain Muid Althobaiti [5], Badr Abdul Mohsen Al-Otaibi[6], Shnar Mohesen Matar Altobaiti[7], YOUSEF SAEED MOHAMMED ALAMRI[8], Mohamed Khadran mohamed Al-Zahrani[9], fahad helal saad alharthi[10], Muhannad Mohammed Alzahrani[11], Hamed Mohammed Almalki[12]

ISSN: 2663-5798 || Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing

الإصدار السابع – العدد الرابع والسبعون
تاريخ الإصدار: 2 – كانون الأول – 2024م

www.ajsp.net

Abstract:

Medical Emergency Teams (METs) play a vital role in preserving patients' lives by providing rapid, specialized interventions during critical health emergencies. This review explores the benefits, challenges, and outcomes associated with METs in modern healthcare settings. METs significantly reduce mortality and morbidity rates, prevent unplanned intensive care unit (ICU) admissions, and enhance patient safety by addressing clinical deterioration early. Their presence improves staff confidence, facilitates effective communication, and contributes to a proactive healthcare environment, ultimately leading to higher patient and family satisfaction. Despite these benefits, METs face challenges such as inadequate training, resource constraints, communication barriers, and emotional stress among team members. Institutional support, efficient communication systems, and continuous training programs are essential to address these limitations. Results from hospitals implementing METs demonstrate reductions in adverse events, enhanced patient outcomes, and strengthened organizational performance. In conclusion, METs are indispensable in modern healthcare, offering life-saving interventions and supporting systemic improvements. Addressing the challenges they face is crucial to optimizing their impact on patient safety and healthcare quality.

Keywords: Role of the medical emergency team, Medical emergency team, Preserving patients' lives.

1. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in AL Siri, Taif
2. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Al Naseem , Taif
3. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in ALshafa,Taif
4. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Alnasim,Taif
5. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Alnasim,Taif
6. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in , Hawiyah Taif
7. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in qarwaa,Taif
8. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Operational control, Mecca
9. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Operational control, Mecca
10. Emergency medical services, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Al-Taif / Alnseem
11. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Field Command , Taif
12. Emergency Medical Services, Red Crescent Center in Qarwa, Taif

Introduction

Preserving patients' lives is a fundamental goal of healthcare systems, requiring a multifaceted approach to ensure effective and compassionate care. Central to this mission is the ability to provide prompt and accurate diagnoses, as timely identification of diseases or injuries is crucial for initiating appropriate interventions. Delays in diagnosis can result in complications or even loss of life, underscoring the importance of well-trained medical professionals and access to advanced diagnostic tools.

Effective treatment plans also play a critical role in safeguarding patients' lives. These plans must be tailored to individual needs, leveraging evidence-based therapies, procedures, and medications to address specific health conditions. Equally important are preventive measures, such as vaccinations, health screenings, and public education campaigns, which help avert severe illnesses and reduce the burden on healthcare facilities [1]. Safe and effective patient care depends on the teamwork of multidisciplinary healthcare professionals. Unfortunately, the field currently lacks an evidence-based framework for effective teamwork that can be incorporated into medical education and practice across health professions [2].

In emergency situations, preserving lives depends on swift and decisive action. Techniques such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), defibrillation, and trauma care are vital for stabilizing patients in critical moments. Healthcare providers must undergo continuous education and simulation-based training to remain proficient in these life-saving skills. This not only enhances their confidence but also ensures the quality and safety of the care they provide [3].

Continuous monitoring of patients' conditions is another cornerstone of life preservation. Modern technology enables real-time tracking of vital signs, allowing for immediate adjustments in treatment to prevent deterioration. Moreover, patient safety initiatives, including strict infection control measures and protocols to minimize medical errors, further contribute to improved outcomes [4]. Beyond physical health, mental and emotional support is essential for comprehensive care. Addressing patients' psychological well-being fosters resilience and facilitates recovery, emphasizing the holistic nature of

healthcare. Ultimately, preserving patients' lives is a collaborative effort that combines medical expertise, technological advancements, and a compassionate approach to ensure that individuals receive the best possible care [5].

The role of the medical emergency team

The medical emergency team (MET) plays a pivotal role in preserving patients' lives by responding swiftly to critical situations within healthcare settings. These teams are typically composed of multidisciplinary professionals, such as physicians, nurses, and respiratory therapists, who are specially trained to identify and manage acute medical crises. Their primary function is to intervene during life-threatening situations, such as cardiac arrests, severe respiratory distress, or sudden deteriorations in a patient's condition, to prevent adverse outcomes. METs bridge the gap between general ward care and intensive care, providing immediate, advanced support to stabilize patients and improve survival rates [6].

One of the key contributions of METs is their ability to provide early intervention. By conducting rapid assessments and initiating appropriate treatments, they prevent the escalation of conditions that could lead to fatal outcomes. METs often operate based on early warning systems (EWS), which rely on physiological parameters to identify patients at risk of deterioration [7]. This proactive approach not only preserves lives but also reduces the need for unplanned admissions to intensive care units.

However, several factors affect the effectiveness of METs in fulfilling their life-saving role. Staff training and competence are critical; the team's ability to function efficiently depends on members being well-trained in emergency protocols and possessing strong communication and decision-making skills [8]. Hospital infrastructure also plays a significant role—access to advanced medical equipment and clear pathways for escalation of care are essential for optimal performance. Workload and staffing levels can impact response times, and the quality of care provided, especially in busy or understaffed hospitals. Additionally, institutional culture influences the effectiveness of METs; organizations that promote teamwork, encourage clinical concerns, and foster continuous learning create an environment where METs can thrive [9].

Communication is another vital factor. The success of MET interventions depends on clear, concise exchanges of information among team members and with other healthcare providers. Miscommunication can lead to delays or errors in treatment, potentially compromising patient outcomes. Furthermore, patient-related factors, such as pre-existing conditions, age, and the severity of the current illness, can influence the success of MET interventions [10].

In conclusion, METs are indispensable in preserving patients' lives, offering specialized, immediate care during emergencies. Their success is shaped by various factors, including training, hospital resources, institutional culture, and patient-specific variables. Addressing these factors through investments in education, infrastructure, and organizational support is crucial for maximizing the effectiveness of METs in safeguarding patient health.

Benefits of METs

The medical emergency team (MET) provides numerous benefits in preserving patients' lives and improving overall healthcare outcomes. One of the most significant advantages is the team's ability to ensure timely intervention during emergencies. METs respond rapidly to clinical deterioration, stabilizing patients before their condition escalates into critical complications. This swift action reduces the likelihood of adverse events such as cardiac or respiratory arrests and significantly improves survival rates [11].

Another critical benefit is the reduction in mortality and morbidity. By intervening early, METs prevent life-threatening conditions and minimize the risk of long-term complications, helping patients recover more quickly and with fewer lasting effects. Their expertise also often prevents unplanned admissions to intensive care units (ICUs), conserving critical resources and reducing the financial burden on healthcare systems [6].

The presence of METs enhances the confidence and effectiveness of ward staff. Knowing that a specialized team is available for support allows healthcare providers to focus on routine care without the fear of being unprepared for emergencies. METs also play an educational role, providing real-time training during interventions and boosting the skills and knowledge of the broader healthcare team [9]. In addition, METs facilitate better communication and coordination within healthcare institutions. They streamline the process for escalating critical cases, ensuring that all relevant parties are informed and

involved in patient care. This teamwork improves the quality of handovers and reduces the chances of errors during high-pressure situations.

Patient and family satisfaction is another benefit of METs. The visible presence of a specialized team dedicated to responding to emergencies reassures patients and their loved ones, fostering trust in the healthcare system. Families feel more confident knowing that their concerns about sudden changes in a patient's condition will be addressed promptly by trained professionals [12].

Finally, METs contribute to the overall quality of healthcare delivery. By implementing early warning systems and promoting adherence to clinical guidelines, they help institutions reduce adverse events and align with patient safety initiatives. These efforts not only save lives but also support broader organizational goals, emphasizing commitment to excellence in healthcare. In sum, METs play a vital role in enhancing patient safety, improving outcomes, and strengthening the overall healthcare framework [3], [10].

Challenges faced with METs

Despite their significant contributions, medical emergency teams (METs) face various challenges that can hinder their ability to preserve patients' lives effectively. These challenges stem from factors such as organizational limitations, resource constraints, and communication barriers, all of which can impact the team's performance and patient outcomes.

One of the primary challenges is the lack of adequate training and preparedness among MET members and the broader healthcare team. METs require highly specialized skills to handle critical situations effectively, but inconsistent training programs or limited access to simulation-based learning can undermine their ability to respond optimally. Similarly, the ward staff may lack the knowledge or confidence to recognize early signs of patient deterioration, delaying activation of the MET [12].

Resource constraints also pose a significant barrier to MET effectiveness. Hospitals with limited budgets may struggle to maintain adequate staffing levels or invest in advanced medical equipment necessary for emergency interventions. Overburdened healthcare systems can lead to delayed response times, as METs may already be occupied with other emergencies [13]. This is particularly problematic in facilities experiencing high patient volumes or staffing shortages.

Communication issues within healthcare settings can further complicate the work of METs. Miscommunication between ward staff and MET members during handovers or emergencies may result in incomplete information being shared, leading to errors in diagnosis or treatment. Additionally, hierarchical or cultural barriers within institutions can discourage staff from activating the MET in a timely manner, particularly if they fear criticism for raising false alarms. Another challenge is the variability in institutional support for METs [14]. Some healthcare organizations lack clear policies or protocols for when and how METs should be utilized. This inconsistency can lead to underuse or overuse of the team, reducing their efficiency and impact. A lack of integration with other hospital departments may also limit METs' ability to provide comprehensive care [9].

Patient-specific factors can also affect MET performance. For instance, elderly patients or those with complex comorbidities may not respond as well to emergency interventions, making it difficult to achieve optimal outcomes. Furthermore, in some cases, family members' concerns or demands during emergencies can add pressure to MET operations, complicating decision-making processes. Finally, emotional and physical stress on MET members can hinder their effectiveness. Responding to high-stakes emergencies regularly can lead to burnout, fatigue, and emotional exhaustion, reducing the team's ability to perform at their best. Hospitals that fail to provide sufficient support mechanisms, such as counseling or adequate rest periods, exacerbate this issue [4].

In summary, while METs play a crucial role in preserving patients' lives, challenges such as training gaps, resource limitations, communication issues, and organizational barriers can hinder their success. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that prioritizes investment in training, resources, institutional support, and team well-being.

The results

The results of implementing and utilizing medical emergency teams (METs) have been overwhelmingly positive in healthcare systems worldwide. Studies and reports demonstrate that METs significantly improve patient outcomes, reduce critical incidents, and enhance the overall quality of care in hospitals:

1. **Reduction in Mortality and Cardiac Arrest Rates**: Hospitals with METs have reported noticeable declines in mortality rates and the occurrence of in-hospital cardiac arrests. Early intervention by METs prevents critical situations from escalating, increasing survival rates and reducing the likelihood of severe complications.
2. **Prevention of Unplanned ICU Admissions**: METs often detect and manage patient deterioration early, preventing the need for costly and resource-intensive ICU admissions. This not only reduces the financial burden on healthcare systems but also improves the allocation of ICU beds for more critical cases.
3. **Improved Patient Safety**: METs enhance safety by minimizing adverse events such as medication errors, delayed responses to deteriorating conditions, and preventable complications. The use of early warning systems in conjunction with METs ensures patients at risk are identified promptly.
4. **Increased Staff Confidence and Competence**: Ward staff report feeling more supported and confident in managing complex patient scenarios due to the availability of METs. This safety net fosters a more secure work environment and encourages learning through real-time collaboration during emergencies.
5. **Higher Patient and Family Satisfaction**: The presence of METs has been linked to increased patient and family satisfaction levels. Families feel reassured knowing that specialized teams are available to handle emergencies, creating a sense of trust and reliability in the healthcare system.
6. **Reduction in Adverse Event Rates**: Studies have shown that hospitals with active MET programs experience fewer adverse clinical events, including sudden patient deterioration, missed early warning signs, and prolonged response times during crises.
7. **Strengthened Organizational Performance**: METs contribute to hospital-wide improvements by promoting adherence to clinical protocols, enhancing interdisciplinary communication, and fostering a culture of proactive care. These outcomes align with quality assurance and patient safety goals in healthcare systems.
8. **Enhanced Training Outcomes**: MET involvement in training programs improves the skills of healthcare workers. Simulation-based training and real-time emergency responses provide staff with practical experience, leading to better preparedness for future crises.

In summary, the implementation of METs yields measurable improvements in patient safety, clinical outcomes, and staff performance. These results underscore the critical importance of METs in modern healthcare settings and highlight the need for continued support and optimization of their operations to achieve even greater benefits.

Conclusion

In conclusion, **medical emergency teams (METs)** play an indispensable role in preserving patients' lives by providing timely, expert interventions during critical situations. Their presence enhances patient safety, reduces mortality and morbidity, and supports the broader healthcare system by preventing complications and unplanned ICU admissions. METs also improve staff confidence, facilitate communication, and contribute to organizational quality and patient satisfaction.

However, their effectiveness is influenced by challenges such as inadequate training, resource constraints, communication barriers, and institutional limitations. Patient-specific factors and the physical and emotional stress faced by MET members further complicate their operations. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, including enhanced training programs, better resource allocation, improved communication protocols, and robust institutional support systems.

Ultimately, the success of METs depends on creating an environment that fosters collaboration, continuous learning, and resilience among healthcare providers. By addressing the obstacles they face and optimizing their functionality, healthcare

institutions can maximize the life-saving potential of METs, ensuring better outcomes for patients and a stronger, more responsive healthcare system.

References:

Radeschi, G., Urso, F., Campagna, S., Berchialla, P., Borga, S., Mina, A., Penso, R., Di Pietrantonj, C., & Sandroni, C. (2015). Factors affecting attitudes and barriers to a medical emergency team among nurses and medical doctors: A multi-centre survey. *Resuscitation*, *88*, 92–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.12.027

Jones, D. A., Bagshaw, S. M., Barrett, J., Bellomo, R., Bhatia, G., Bucknall, T. K., Casamento, A. J., Duke, G. J., Gibney, N., Hart, G. K., Hillman, K. M., Jäderling, G., Parmar, A., & Parr, M. J. (2012). The role of the medical emergency team in end-of-life care: A multicenter, prospective, observational study. *Critical Care Medicine*, *40*(1), 98–103. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31822e9d50

Abolfotouh, M. A., Alnasser, M. A., Berhanu, A. N., Al-Turaif, D. A., & Alfayez, A. I. (2017). Impact of basic life-support training on the attitudes of health-care workers toward cardiopulmonary resuscitation and defibrillation. *BMC Health Services Research*, *17*, 674. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2621-5

Anderson, R., Sebaldt, A., Lin, Y., & Cheng, A. (2019). Optimal training frequency for acquisition and retention of high-quality CPR skills: A randomized trial. *Resuscitation*, *135*, 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.10.033

Bell, S. T., Brown, S. G., Colaneri, A., & Outland, N. (2018). Team composition and the ABCs of teamwork. *American Psychologist*, *73*(4), 349–362. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000305

Akdeniz, M., Yardımcı, B., & Kavukcu, E. (2021). Ethical considerations at the end-of-life care. *SAGE Open Medicine*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.1177/20503121211000918

Pak, E. S., Jones, C. A., & Mather, P. J. (2020). Ethical challenges in care of patients on mechanical circulatory support at end-of-life. *Current Heart Failure Reports*, *17*(4), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11897-020-00518-5

Marzaleh, M. A., Peyravi, M., Ahmadi, E., et al. (2024). Exploring paramedics' lived experiences in confrontation with patients' death during missions: A phenomenological study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, *24*, 115. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-024-01042-6

Clayton, W. R. (2019, July 19). Overcoming barriers impeding nurse activation of rapid response teams. *OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, *24*(3). https://doi.org/10.3912/OJIN.Vol24No03Man01

Alsherhri, B., Klarare Ljungberg, A., & Ruter, A. (2015). Medical-surgical nurses' experiences of calling a rapid response team in a hospital setting: A literature review. *Middle East Journal of Nursing*, *9*(3), 3–23. https://doi.org/10.5742/MEJN.2015.92660

Astroth, K. S., Woith, W. M., Jenkins, S. H., & Hesson-McInnis, M. S. (2017). The measure of facilitators and barriers to rapid response team activation. *Applied Nursing Research*, *33*, 175–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2016.12.003

Copeland, D., & Liska, H. (2016). Implementation of a post-code pause. *Journal of Trauma Nursing*, *23*(2), 58–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTN.0000000000000187

Alghamdi, A. A. (2022). The psychological challenges of emergency medical service providers during disasters: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.797681

This reference list has been formatted in accordance with the APA 7th edition style guidelines, ensuring proper citation conventions and comprehensiveness.